#### §42.406

- (2) Show that the petitioner has at least one claim that is:
- (i) The same or substantially the same as the respondent's claimed invention; and
- (ii) The same or substantially the same as the invention disclosed to the respondent.
- (b) In addition to the requirements of §§ 42.8 and 42.22, the petition must:
- (1) Provide sufficient information to identify the application or patent for which the petitioner seeks a derivation proceeding;
- (2) Demonstrate that a claimed invention was derived from an inventor named in the petitioner's application, and that the inventor from whom the invention was derived did not authorize the filing of the earliest application claiming such invention; and
- (3) For each of the respondent's claims to the derived invention,
- (i) Show why the claimed invention is the same or substantially the same as the invention disclosed to the respondent, and
- (ii) Identify how the claim is to be construed. Where the claim to be construed contains a means-plus-function or step-plus-function limitation as permitted under 35 U.S.C. 112(f), the construction of the claim must identify the specific portions of the specification that describe the structure, material, or acts corresponding to each claimed function.
- (c) Sufficiency of showing. A derivation showing is not sufficient unless it is supported by substantial evidence, including at least one affidavit addressing communication of the derived invention and lack of authorization that, if unrebutted, would support a determination of derivation. The showing of communication must be corroborated.

## $\S 42.406$ Service of petition.

In addition to the requirements of §42.6, the petitioner must serve the petition and exhibits relied upon in the petition as follows:

(a) The petition and supporting evidence must be served on the respondent at the correspondence address of record for the earlier application or subject patent. The petitioner may additionally serve the petition and supporting

evidence on the respondent at any other address known to the petitioner as likely to effect service.

(b) Upon agreement of the parties, service may be made electronically. Service may be by EXPRESS MAIL® or by means at least as fast and reliable as EXPRESS MAIL®. Personal service is not required.

#### § 42.407 Filing date.

- (a) Complete petition. A petition to institute a derivation proceeding will not be accorded a filing date until the petition satisfies all of the following requirements:
- (1) Complies with §§ 42.404 and 42.405, and
- (2) Service of the petition on the correspondence address of record as provided in §42.406.
- (b) Incomplete petition. Where the petitioner files an incomplete petition, no filing date will be accorded, and the Office will dismiss the petition if the deficiency in the petition is not corrected within the earlier of either one month from notice of the incomplete petition, or the expiration of the statutory deadline in which to file a petition for derivation.

INSTITUTING DERIVATION PROCEEDING

# § 42.408 Institution of derivation proceeding.

- (a) An administrative patent judge institutes, and may as necessary reinstitute, the derivation proceeding on behalf of the Director.
- (b) Additional derivation proceeding. The petitioner may suggest the addition of a patent or application to the derivation proceeding. The suggestion should make the showings required under §42.405 and explain why the suggestion could not have been made in the original petition.

AFTER INSTITUTION OF DERIVATION PROCEEDING

### §42.409 Settlement agreements.

An agreement or understanding under 35 U.S.C. 135(e) is a settlement for the purposes of § 42.74.